1	CRAIG K. PERRY, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3786 info@1stoplawfirm.com CRAIG K. PERRY & ASSOCIATES 8010 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 260 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Telephone (702) 228-4777		
2			
3			
4			
5	Fax: (702) 943-7520		
6	Attorney for Defendant KELLY CARN		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA ***		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:13-cr-00346-APG-GWF	
10	Plaintiff,	2.12 32 333 13 12 3 3 11 2	
11		CTIDLIL ATION AND ODDED TO	
12	VS.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE CALENDAR CALL AND	
13	KELLY CARN,	TRIAL	
14	Defendants.	(THIRD REQUEST)	
15			
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and	between KELLY CARN Defendant, by and	
17	through his counsel CRAIG K. PERRY, ESQ., and the United States of America, ANDREW		
18	DUNCAN, Assistant United States Attorney, that calendar call in the above-captioned matter		
19	currently scheduled for October 15, 2014, at the hour of 8:45 a.m., and the trial currently		
20	scheduled for October 20, 2014 at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued for ninety (90)		
21	days, or to a date and time to be set by this Honorable Court.		
22			
23	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:		
24	Defendant is not in custody. Counsel has spoken to defendant and he does not object		
25	to the continuance.		
	I		

	11		
1	2. There is no objection from Assistant United States Attorney Andrew W. Duncan, to		
2	the continuance.		
3	3. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for trial and to file		
4	a Motion for Return of Seized Property.		
5	4. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the		
6	opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in		
7	this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.		
8	5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of		
9	justice.		
11	6. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a		
12	continuance of the trial date.		
13	7. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time		
14	within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18		
15	U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and		
16	3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).		
17	8. This is the third request for a continuance of the trial date in this case.		
18	DATED this <u>lb</u> day of September, 2014.		
19		DANIEL G. BOGDEN	
20		UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
21	/s/ Craig K. Perry, Esq.	/s/ Andrew W. Duncan, Esq.	
22		ANDREW W. DUNCAN, Esq.	
23	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South #5000	
24		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Plaintiff USA	
25			

1	CRAIG K. PERRY, Esq.				
2	Nevada Bar No. 3786 infor@lstoplawfirm.com				
3	CRAIG K. PERRY & ASSOCIATES 8010 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 260				
4	Las Vegas, NV 89117				
5	Telephone (702) 228-4777 Fax: (702) 943-7520				
6	Attorney for Defendant KELLY CARN				
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA ***				
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:13-cr-00346-APG-GWF			
10		2.13-CI-00340-AFG-G W F			
11	Plaintiff,				
12	Vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE CALENDAR CALL AND			
13	KELLY CARN,	TRIAL			
14	Defendants.	(THIRD REQUEST)			
15					
16	FINDINGS OF FACT				
17	Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the				
18	Court finds:				
19	Court migs.				
20	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:				
21	1. Defendant is not in custody. Counsel has spoken to defendant and he does not				
22	object to the continuance.				
23	2. There is no objection from Assistant United States Attorney Andrew W. Duncan, to				
24	the continuance.				
25					

- 3. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to adequately prepare for trial and file a Motion for Return of Seized Property.
- 4. Denial for this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly research and prepare for trial in this case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the trial date.
- 7. The additional time requested by this stipulation, is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7) (A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).
- 8. This is the third request for a continuance of the trial date in this case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The end of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendants in a speedy trial since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7) (A), considering the factors under title 18 U.S.C. 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) through Section 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER		
IT IS ORDERED that calendar call currently scheduled for October 15, 2014, at the hour of		
8:45 a.m., be vacated and continued to January 21, 2015 at 8:45 a.m., Courtroom 6C		
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the trial scheduled for October 20, 2014, at the hour of		
9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued toJanuary 26, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., Courtroom 6C		
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that trial briefs, proposed vior dire questions, proposed		
jury instructions, and of the Government's prospective witnesses shall be submitted to the Court		
12:00 p.m., January 21, 2015.		
DATED this 24th of September 2014.		
_a/		
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE		